Reclassification of English Learners to Fluent English Proficient

Language Development Office Maria Lazaro

DELAC September 8, 2021

California Department of Education Reclassification Criteria

The reclassification criteria set forth in California Education Code Section 313 and Title 5 California Code of Regulations Section 11303 remain unchanged. Local educational agencies (LEAs) should continue using the following four criteria to establish reclassification policies and procedures:

- 1) Assessment of English language proficiency (ELP), using an objective assessment instrument, including, but not limited to, the state test of ELPAC (ELPAC Overall Proficiency Level 4); and
- 2) Teacher evaluation, including, but not limited to, a review of the student's curriculum mastery; and
- 3) Parent opinion and consultation; and
- 4) Comparison of student performance in basic skills against an empirically established range of performance in basic skills of English proficient students of the same age.

ELPAC Overall Performance Level (PL) 4 has been established as the statewide standardized ELP criterion (1). All other reclassification criteria (2–4) remain locally determined.

Reclassification Criteria 1 and 2

Criterion 1: SUSD will use the results from the 2020–2021 Summative ELPAC.

Criterion 2: Teacher Evaluation has a rubric to determine student's curriculum mastery. Teacher's determination is noted on the front of the form. The rubric to be completed is on the back.

Reclassification Form - Teacher Evaluation

	tialied School District Start Objet Name:	Grades K-12		Form LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT OFFICE 1503 St. Mark's Plaza, Suite DI Stockton, CA, 95207 Phone: (209) 933-7075 Fax: (209) 478-2890				
	ID:E	Sirthdate: Teacher:			Grade:			
		reacher: n an English Learner to proficient in English, th ll as an assessment of performance in basic skil	se student must meet state			ssment of their pr	oficiency	
	Туре	Criteria	Documentation					
1	Assessment of English Language Proficiency	English Language Proficiency Assessments for California	ELPAC Overall	ELPAC Overall Performance Level:		2020-21	2021-2	
		(ELPAC) overall score of 4.	Composite Scores					
			-		g Performance g Performance			
			Domains		Performance Performance			
2	Comparison of	Must meet or exceed	Basic Skills Sc	ore	200.000.000	-		
	Performance in Basic Skills	reclassification score on one			Cur score	Actu	ial Score	
	Dasic Skills	Measures of i-Ready benchmark given three times a	SPRING 2021					
		school year.	FALL 2021					
		Or Must meet the SBAC	WINTER 2021	WINTER 2021				
		Achievement Level of 3 (Met Standard) or 4 (Exceeded	SPRING 2022					
		Standards)	SBAC ELA Achievement Level 20/21		20/21			
3	Teacher Evaluation	Teacher documentation on the back.	This student routinely demonstrates the readiness for reclassification a English proficient and the ability to successfully participate in grade-level content instruction that is delivered with no second langual acquisition support. U'se UNO					
		1	Teacher Name	:				
			Teacher Signa	ture:				
			Teacher Signal	ture:				
4	Parent/Guardian	Description of Parent Contact			low)			
4	Parent/Guardian Opinion and Consultation		Date: Parent (Please	check one bel	reclassification.			
4	Opinion and	Description of Parent Contact	Date: Parent (Please	check one bel		ation.		
4	Opinion and	□ □Phone/Virtual □ Letter	Date: Parent (Please	check one bel th my child's i	reclassification.	ation.		
4	Opinion and Consultation	□ □ □Phone/Virtual □ Letter In-Person Parent Comments (optional) and Name of Person contacting	Date: Parent (Please I agree with I do not ag	check one bel th my child's i	reclassification.	ation.		
4	Opinion and	□ □ □Phone/Virtual □ Letter In-Person Parent Comments (optional) and Name of Person contacting	Date: Parent (Please I agree wit I do not as Parent Signatu Date:	check one bel th my child's a gree with my c ire: student from E	reclassification. child's reclassifica child's reclassifica children	luent English Pr	oficient.	
	Opinion and Consultation	Dependence of Person contacting parent(s): Description Description	Date: Parent (Please I agree with I do not ag Parent Signate Date: satification. Reclassification. Reclassification. Reclassification.	check one belch my child's regree with my course: student from E satisfication is no Date:	reclassification. child's reclassification child's reclassification child's reclassification child's reclassification child's reclassification child's recommended at the	luent English Pr	oficient.	

acquisition support needed to be successful: Student routinely demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student is able to construct meaning when reading grade appropriate construct meaning when reading grade appropriate	nguage sful: Student speaking and		
Listening and Reading Grade appropriate with no second language acquisition support needed to be successful: Student routinely demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student is able to construct meaning when reading grade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: in writing at a level comparable to English grade-level peers. Student uses grade-appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful.	nguage isful: Student speaking and		
Listening and Reading Grade appropriate with no second language acquisition support needed to be successful: Student routinely demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student is able to construct meaning when reading grade appropriate content-based vocabulary and grammar e	sful: Student speaking and		
Crade appropriate with no second language acquisition support needed to be successful: Student routinely demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student is able to construct meaning when reading grade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be succe routinely expresses thoughts and ideas in in writing at a level comparable to English grade-level peers. Student uses grade-appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second language Corrade appropriate with no second language Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: In writing at a level comparable to English grade-level peers. Student uses grade-appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful: Corrade appropriate with no second la acquisition support needed to be successful:	sful: Student speaking and		
acquisition support needed to be successful: Student routinely demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student is able to construct meaning when reading grade appropriate construct meaning when reading grade appropriate	sful: Student speaking and		
repeat, or rephrase during conversations and academic discussions. Student receives written and oral information with no need for second language oral and written material with no need for	communicates orally with few pauses and minimal errors that block communication. Student produces oral and written material with no need for second language acquisition support to be successful with		
□ Grade appropriate with some second language lacquisition support needed to be successful: Student demonstrates listening and reading comprehension skills that are nearing but not yet comparable to English proficient grade-level peers. Student at times relies on linguistically accommodated text features to construct meaning from abstract grade appropriate text. Student comprehends conversations and discussions but relies at times on pauses for processing time, requests for repetition, visual cues, and requests for clarification with less familiar topics. □ Grade appropriate with some second acquisition support needed to be successful: that are nearing but not yet comparable to proficient grade-level peers. Student comprehends conversations and discussions but relies at times on pauses for processing time, requests for repetition, visual cues, and requests for clarification with less familiar topics.	sful: Student and writing English grade ar topics with Student ing with ut at times ports to		
Comments: (Attach additional supporting documentation, as needed.)			

Reclassification Criterion 3

Criterion 3: Parent(s)/Guardian(s) have the opportunity for opinion and consultation

- Describe how the parent/guardian was contacted
- If parent/guarding is available for signature, check agree or disagree, sign, and date
- If parent/guardian is unavailable for signature due to COVID 19, write "Parent can't sign due to COVID 19"
- Check agree or disagree
- Write parent/guardian comment
- Write the name of the person who contacted the parent/guardian
- Date

Reclassification Form - Parent(s)/Guardian(s) Consultation

NAOL)			anguage Proficiency Reclassification Grades K-12 2021-22 PERMID: Tanchur			1503 St. Mark's Plaza, Suite D1				
	SSID: Birthdate: Teacher:				Grade:					
in or	nder to be reclassified from	n on English La	samer to modicion	in English the	ctuden	t must meet state and	Orau	-		_
n th	e English language as we	ii as an assessn	nent of performance	e in basic skills	SCCR	11303 [a] [d]).		Management of the Party of the		-
	Type	Criteria			Documentation				100	-11
1	Assessment of English Language Proficiency	English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) overall score of 4.			ELPAC Overall Performance Level:			2020-21	2021-2	
					Composite		Oral Langua	e		
					Scores	Written Lang				
							Listening Per			
				Domains	Speaking Per					
	.v				Reading Perf					
_							Writing Perfo	rmance		
2	Comparison of Performance in	Must meet or exceed reclassification score on one			Basic Skills Score Cur score Actual Score					
	Basic Skills		Measures of i-Ready			SPRING 2021		Cut Store	Att	uai Score
		benchmark given three times a				LL 2021				
		Or	school year. Or			WINTER 2021				
		Must meet the SBAC Achievement Level of 3 (Met Standard) or 4 (Exceeded								
					SPRING 2022					
		Standards) of + (Exceeded			SBAC ELA Achievement Level 20/21					
3	Teacher Evaluation	Teacher documentation on the back.			This student routinely demonstrates the readiness for reclassification of English proficient and the ability to successfully participate in grade-level content instruction that is delivered with no second langua acquisition support. [Yes] No. No.					
					Teacher Name:					
					Te	acher Signature	et			
					Da	ite:				
4	Parent/Guardian Opinion and	Description of Parent Contact			Pa	rent (Please che				
	Consultation	_	□Phone/Virtua	I - I ottor		□ I agree with my child's reclassification.				
		In-Person	Drinone virtua	rtual 🗆 Letter		□ I do not agree with my child's reclassification.				
		Parent Comments (optional) and Name of Person contacting parent(s):			Pa	rent Signature:				
					Da	Date:				
5	Recommendation	Student has met all criteria for reclas Student has not met all criteria for re-								roficient.
		Principal					Date:			
_		_	Signature:							
6	Language Development	□ Verification that this document is fully completed and read					dy for processing	ė.		
	Office	Director Signature:				Date:				
		Digitature.					1	1000		





Reclassification Criterion 4

Criterion 4: SUSD Research and Accountability Department runs a report for students eligible for reclassification based on criterion 1 (ELPAC), and

• iReady Reading cut scores **OR** SBAC Summative English Language Arts

o iReady

Grad	e Fall		Winter	Spring		
**K		320	346	381		
1		373	399	413		
2		429	452	456		
3		469	485	486		
4		497	508	511		
5		516	529	535		
6 535 7 555		535	549	550		
		555	564	572		
8		569	576	589		
	able contain t whole num		data for grades K-8. Cut sco	res were rounded to		
	4 x 4 A		4 x 4 B	AB		
Grade	BOY Course	EOY Course	BOY EOY Course Course	BOY EOY Course Course		
9	575	578	575 578	575 578		
10	584	586	584 586	584 586		

SBAC score of 3 or 4

iReady Assessment Opportunities

K-8 Students

- Fall
- Winter
- Spring



9-12 Students

- Currently, students have 2 opportunities in the semester they have their English Language Arts block (at the beginning of the semester and towards the end of the semester)
- However, LDO is working with the Research and Accountability Department and the Assistant Superintendent to create 3 opportunities like K-8

2021-2022 Reclassification Waves

RFEP Wave 1 of 4 (2021-2022) (Spring iReady 2020-21 + ELPAC 2020-21)

• 287 eligible students

RFEP Wave 2 of 4 (2021-2022) (Fall iReady 2021-22 + ELPAC 2020-21)

• 219 eligible students (as of today)

RFEP Wave 3 of 4 (2021-2022) (Winter iReady 2021-22 + ELPAC 2020-21)

RFEP Wave 4 of 4 (2021-2022) (Spring iReady 2021-22 + ELPAC 2020-21)

